

## **Thse Security Implications of Language Policy in Multilingual Nations**

**Ameh Timothy Ojochegbe<sup>1</sup>**


*Prince Abubakar Audu University, Nigeria*

*Email: [timothyameh.edu@gmail.com](mailto:timothyameh.edu@gmail.com)*

### **ABSTRACT**

*This study examines the security implications of language policy in multilingual nations, focusing on its role in fostering inclusion, managing diversity, and mitigating conflict. Through case studies of Nigeria, India, and Switzerland, the research highlights how language policies influence national identity, governance, and socio-economic equity. In Nigeria, the dominance of English marginalizes indigenous languages, exacerbating ethnic tensions and socio-economic disparities. India's federal structure accommodates linguistic diversity but continues to face challenges with regional resistance to Hindi dominance. Switzerland, by contrast, exemplifies the success of inclusive multilingual policies in maintaining stability and fostering unity. Drawing on theoretical frameworks such as Critical Language Policy, Linguistic Justice, and Intersectionality, the study underscores the importance of equitable and participatory approaches to language planning. It concludes that inclusive language policies reduce grievances, promote cohesion, and enhance national security, while exclusionary practices risk alienation and conflict. The findings contribute to the growing discourse on the nexus between language, governance, and security, offering valuable insights for policymakers in linguistically diverse nations.*

**Keywords:** *Language Policy in Multilingual Nations, Security Implications of Linguistic Diversity, Inclusive Multilingual Governance*

This article is licensed under [CC BY-SA 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) 

### **INTRODUCTION**

Language is one of the most fundamental elements of human interaction, shaping not only communication but also identity, power dynamics, and governance. In multilingual nations, language serves as a marker of cultural heritage and group affiliation, often intertwining with political, economic, and social structures. When nations adopt language policies to manage their linguistic diversity, these policies become powerful tools for either fostering unity or deepening divisions (Fatiham et al. 2024). As noted by Fishman (1972), "Language embodies the identity of a people, linking them to their past while shaping their vision for the future." This assertion highlights the importance of language policy in nation-building and its implications for national stability.

In many multilingual nations, linguistic diversity is both a resource and a challenge. The diversity enriches national culture and enhances global connectivity but often requires careful management to avoid exacerbating ethnic tensions or social fragmentation. Spolsky (2004) highlights that "language policies reflect not only decisions about communication but also implicit judgments about identity, inclusion, and power." This dual role places language policy at the heart of governance in linguistically diverse societies. Poorly designed or exclusionary language policies can marginalize certain groups, leading to disenfranchisement, resistance, and in extreme cases, conflict. Conversely, inclusive policies that recognize and respect linguistic diversity can foster social cohesion and mitigate security risks.

The security implications of language policy have been widely documented. Laitin (1992) emphasizes that "language choices are not merely practical decisions but are tied to questions of dominance, resistance, and survival." When a dominant language is imposed at the expense of minority languages, it can alienate linguistic communities and exacerbate existing grievances. Bamgbose (2000)

offers the African context as a compelling example, noting that “the neglect of indigenous languages in governance and education has often led to feelings of exclusion and resentment, undermining the legitimacy of the state.”

Nigeria provides a case in point. With over 500 languages, it represents one of the most linguistically diverse countries in the world. Yet, the adoption of English as the official language—a colonial legacy—has created a significant divide between the elite and the masses. Scholars such as Adegbite (2004) argue that this policy marginalizes indigenous languages, creating a sense of exclusion among linguistic minorities and contributing to ethnic tensions. This marginalization has implications for national security, as disenfranchised groups may turn to insurgency or other forms of resistance to assert their identities.

India, another linguistically diverse nation, offers a contrasting perspective. The country’s federal structure accommodates 22 scheduled languages alongside English and Hindi as official languages, reflecting a more inclusive approach to linguistic diversity. Yet, linguistic tensions persist, particularly in states like Tamil Nadu, where resistance to Hindi imposition has fueled periodic unrest. Khubchandani (1997) observes that “while linguistic federalism has generally prevented large-scale conflict in India, the unequal distribution of linguistic resources and opportunities continues to pose challenges to national cohesion.”

On the other hand, Switzerland represents a success story in managing linguistic diversity. By recognizing four official languages—German, French, Italian, and Romansh—the Swiss model demonstrates how inclusive language policies can foster social harmony and stability. Yet, as Ricento (2006) notes, Switzerland's success is partly attributable to its small population and strong economic resources, factors that may not be easily replicable in larger or less affluent nations.

Despite the varying approaches to language policy, a common thread emerges: the management of linguistic diversity is intrinsically linked to national security. As Mazrui (1975) asserts, “The politics of language are, at their core, the politics of inclusion and exclusion.” Exclusionary policies can alienate minority groups, creating fertile ground for conflict and insurgency, while inclusive policies can serve as a bridge between linguistic communities, fostering trust and cooperation.

This paper explores the security implications of language policy in multilingual nations, with a particular focus on Nigeria, India, and Switzerland. It seeks to answer critical questions: How do language policies influence national security in linguistically diverse societies? What lessons can be drawn from successful and unsuccessful approaches to language management? By examining these issues, the study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the relationship between language policy and national stability, offering insights for policymakers in multilingual nations.

### **Language, Multilingualism, and Security**

Language, multilingualism, and security are intricately connected, particularly in societies where linguistic diversity reflects complex socio-political and cultural realities. While language is a fundamental aspect of identity and communication, its management—or mismanagement—can have profound implications for national cohesion and security. This section explores the roles of language and multilingualism in shaping societal structures, governance, and security dynamics, highlighting their intersections through relevant scholarly perspectives and case studies.

### **The Role of Language in Society and Governance**

Language is more than a medium of communication; it is a repository of culture, history, and identity. As Sapir (1921) argued, “Language is the carrier of the spirit of a people.” Through language, communities express their worldviews, preserve their traditions, and assert their collective identities. Consequently, language occupies a central place in the organization of societies, influencing education, governance, and the exercise of rights.

In governance, language plays a crucial role in ensuring access to information, participation in political processes, and the delivery of public services. Spolsky (2004) observes that “language policies are inextricably linked to power structures, reflecting decisions about who gets access to what resources and who is included or excluded from national conversations.” Governments must decide which languages will serve as official languages, mediums of instruction, and languages of public administration. These decisions have far-reaching implications for societal inclusion and exclusion.

Yet, language can also become a site of contestation, particularly in multilingual societies. When a dominant language is privileged at the expense of minority languages, linguistic communities may perceive the policy as an attack on their cultural identity. As Nettle and Romaine (2000) point out, “The erosion of linguistic diversity is often tied to the erosion of cultural and political autonomy.” Such perceptions can lead to grievances, fueling discontent and, in some cases, conflict.

### **Multilingualism: A Blessing and a Challenge**

Multilingualism, the coexistence of multiple languages within a single society, is both a resource and a challenge. It enriches cultural interactions, fosters creativity, and enhances global competitiveness. Nations like India, South Africa, and Switzerland showcase how linguistic diversity can be celebrated and integrated into governance structures. Yet, managing multilingualism requires careful balancing to avoid exacerbating inequalities and divisions.

One of the primary challenges of multilingualism is the unequal status and representation of languages. According to Bamgbose (2000), “Multilingual societies often exhibit a hierarchy of languages, where dominant languages enjoy prestige and privilege, while others are relegated to the margins.” This linguistic hierarchy can mirror broader socio-economic inequalities, with speakers of dominant languages often enjoying better access to education, employment, and political power.

Moreover, the cost of multilingual governance can strain resources. Providing translation and interpretation services, producing multilingual educational materials, and training personnel in multiple languages require substantial investments. As Coulmas (1997) notes, “The practicality of multilingualism in governance must be balanced against the symbolic and functional importance of linguistic inclusion.”

Despite these challenges, multilingualism also offers opportunities for fostering social cohesion and promoting inclusion. Nations that adopt inclusive language policies can use their linguistic diversity as a bridge between communities, enhancing mutual understanding and trust. This approach requires participatory language planning, where stakeholders from diverse linguistic communities are involved in decision-making processes.

### **Language as a Factor in Security Dynamics**

Language has a direct impact on national security, particularly in its role as a medium for inclusion, exclusion, and mobilization. In multilingual societies, the management of language diversity can either contribute to social harmony or exacerbate ethnic tensions. When language policies marginalize certain groups, they can create grievances that destabilize the state. Conversely, inclusive language policies can foster trust and cooperation between linguistic communities and the government.

Exclusionary language policies often create fault lines that are exploited by insurgent groups, separatists, or other destabilizing forces. Laitin (1992) argues that “linguistic grievances are among the most potent drivers of ethnic mobilization, as they strike at the core of group identity and autonomy.” For example, in Sri Lanka, the imposition of Sinhala as the sole official language in the 1950s marginalized the Tamil-speaking minority, contributing to decades of civil war. Similarly, in Rwanda, the privileging of French and Kinyarwanda over other regional languages exacerbated ethnic tensions, culminating in the 1994 genocide.

On the other hand, language policies that promote linguistic inclusivity can serve as tools for conflict prevention and resolution. By recognizing and valuing linguistic diversity, governments can address grievances, foster social cohesion, and build trust with marginalized communities. The South African constitution, which recognizes 11 official languages, exemplifies this approach. Although implementing this multilingual policy has been challenging, it symbolizes the country's commitment to linguistic and cultural inclusivity following decades of apartheid-era exclusion.

Additionally, language plays a crucial role in intelligence and counterinsurgency efforts. Security personnel who lack proficiency in local languages may struggle to gather reliable intelligence or build relationships with local communities. This linguistic gap can undermine security operations and erode public trust in the state. Bamgbose (2000) highlights the importance of training security personnel in local languages, arguing that "language competence is not merely a practical necessity but also a sign of respect for local communities."

### **Intersections between Language Policy and Security**

The relationship between language policy and security is multifaceted. Language policies influence national security by shaping perceptions of fairness, access, and representation. They also affect the state's capacity to manage diversity and respond to challenges posed by linguistic inequalities. **Social Cohesion:** Language policies that promote inclusion can strengthen social cohesion, reducing the likelihood of ethnic or linguistic conflict. Conversely, exclusionary policies can alienate minority groups, increasing the risk of unrest.

**Governance and Representation:** Inclusive language policies enhance the legitimacy of the state by ensuring that linguistic minorities have access to governance structures and public services. This legitimacy is critical for maintaining stability, particularly in regions where linguistic and ethnic identities overlap. **Conflict Resolution:** Language policies can serve as tools for resolving or mitigating conflict. For example, in post-apartheid South Africa, the recognition of multiple official languages helped to address grievances and foster reconciliation.

**Counterinsurgency:** Language competence is vital for effective counterinsurgency operations. Security personnel who speak local languages are better equipped to gather intelligence, build trust, and address grievances. Spolsky (2004) emphasizes that "language policies are not merely about communication but are deeply tied to issues of identity, power, and security." Policymakers in multilingual nations must therefore approach language planning with a clear understanding of its implications for national stability.

### **METHOD**

This research adopts a qualitative approach to examine the security implications of language policy in multilingual nations. The study employs a comparative case study design, focusing on three countries—Nigeria, India, and Switzerland. These nations were selected to provide diverse perspectives based on their distinct linguistic landscapes, governance structures, and language policy outcomes. The methodology involves the following key components. The data for this research were gathered from secondary sources, including academic literature, government documents and institutional reports.

The comparative analysis was based on policy design, its impact on governance, socio-economic outcomes and conflict and security. The data were analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and deviations across the case studies. The analysis was structured around key themes.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The following case studies provide concrete examples of how language policies influence security dynamics in multilingual nations. By analyzing these examples, we can better understand the successes and failures of various approaches to managing linguistic diversity and their implications for national

cohesion, social harmony, and stability. This section explores three notable case studies: Nigeria, India, and Switzerland. These nations represent diverse contexts in terms of linguistic diversity, governance structures, and policy outcomes, making them valuable for comparative analysis.

## **1. Nigeria**

**Linguistic Diversity and National Unity** Nigeria, one of the most linguistically diverse countries in the world, is home to over 500 languages spread across its 36 states and the Federal Capital Territory. The three dominant languages—Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo—are spoken by large segments of the population, while English, a colonial legacy, serves as the official language. Despite its role as a unifying medium, English has contributed to linguistic stratification, privileging the elite and marginalizing indigenous language speakers (Bamgbose, 2000).

### **Language Policy**

Nigeria's language policy reflects a mix of pragmatism and inadequacy. The National Policy on Education (NPE) emphasizes the use of indigenous languages in early education, with a gradual transition to English. Yet, the implementation of this policy has been inconsistent, often constrained by a lack of resources and political will (Adegbite, 2004). In governance, English dominates as the language of public administration and the judiciary, sidelining the majority of the population who lack proficiency in the language.

### **Security Implications**

The marginalization of indigenous languages has exacerbated ethnic tensions and fueled security challenges. Ethnic militias, such as the Movement for the Actualization of the Sovereign State of Biafra (MASSOB) and the Oodua People's Congress (OPC), have often framed their grievances around cultural and linguistic exclusion. Boko Haram, an insurgent group in northern Nigeria, exploits this exclusion, capitalizing on the disconnect between state institutions and local communities to recruit members.

Language-related insecurities are further compounded by disparities in education. While English remains the key to socio-economic mobility, access to quality English education is limited to urban areas, creating rural-urban divides. Bamgbose (2000) notes that "the neglect of indigenous languages has not only alienated large sections of the population but also undermined the legitimacy of the state." Nigeria's experience highlights the need for a more inclusive language policy that balances the unifying role of English with the cultural and practical importance of indigenous languages. A multilingual approach to governance and education could mitigate ethnic grievances and strengthen national cohesion.

## **2. India**

**Linguistic Federalism and Persistent Tensions** India is another linguistically diverse nation, with 22 scheduled languages recognized by the constitution and hundreds of regional dialects. Hindi and English serve as official languages at the federal level, while states have the autonomy to designate their own official languages. This federal arrangement reflects India's attempt to accommodate its linguistic diversity while maintaining national unity (Khubchandani, 1997).

### **Language Policy**

India's language policy is rooted in the principle of linguistic federalism, allowing states to legislate on language matters within their jurisdictions. The "three-language formula," introduced in education, promotes proficiency in Hindi, English, and a regional language. While this policy

aims to foster multilingualism, its implementation varies widely across states, leading to regional disparities.

Despite this inclusive framework, linguistic tensions persist. The imposition of Hindi as a national language has faced strong resistance, particularly in southern states like Tamil Nadu. Tamil Nadu's Dravidian movement, which opposes the dominance of Hindi, exemplifies how linguistic issues intersect with regional identity and political mobilization (Annamalai, 2004).

### **Security Implications**

India's language policy has mitigated large-scale linguistic conflicts but has not eliminated them. Movements such as the anti-Hindi agitations in Tamil Nadu and demands for linguistic statehood in regions like Telangana and Gorkhaland highlight the ongoing challenges of linguistic diversity. These movements, while largely non-violent, strain national cohesion and test the resilience of India's federal structure.

Moreover, the use of English as a link language between states has created socio-economic divides. Proficiency in English is often associated with access to better education and employment opportunities, marginalizing those who lack such skills. As Khubchandani (1997) observes, "Linguistic inequalities often mirror socio-economic inequalities, creating a feedback loop of disadvantage."

India's experience demonstrates the importance of flexible and inclusive language policies in managing linguistic diversity. While linguistic federalism has prevented large-scale conflict, the persistence of regional grievances highlights the need for equitable resource allocation and greater respect for linguistic autonomy.

## **3. Switzerland**

### **Multilingual Harmony through Inclusivity**

Switzerland is often cited as a model for managing linguistic diversity. The country recognizes four official languages—German, French, Italian, and Romansh—each with equal status in governance, education, and public life. This linguistic inclusivity is facilitated by Switzerland's federal structure, which grants significant autonomy to its 26 cantons (Ricento, 2006).

### **Language Police**

Switzerland's language policy is characterized by a commitment to linguistic equality and cultural preservation. Each canton determines its official language(s), and federal institutions operate in multiple languages to ensure accessibility. The education system promotes multilingualism, with students learning at least one of the national languages in addition to their mother tongue.

The Swiss model is underpinned by a strong tradition of participatory governance and resource-sharing, which ensures that linguistic minorities are not marginalized. For instance, Romansh, spoken by less than 1% of the population, receives substantial state support to preserve its vitality.

### **Security Implications**

Switzerland's inclusive language policy has contributed to its reputation as a stable and harmonious nation. By recognizing and valuing all linguistic communities, the policy minimizes the risk of ethnic or linguistic tensions. As Ricento (2006) notes, "Switzerland demonstrates how linguistic diversity, when managed inclusively, can be a source of strength rather than division."

Yet, Switzerland’s success is partly attributable to its small population, strong economic resources, and historical commitment to neutrality. These factors may not be replicable in larger or less affluent nations. Switzerland’s experience highlights the importance of institutional frameworks that promote linguistic inclusion and equity. By ensuring that all linguistic communities feel valued and represented, multilingual nations can foster social cohesion and stability.

**Comparative Analysis of Case Studies**

| Aspect                      | Nigeria  | India  | Switzerland  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Approach to Multilingualism | Dominance of English; marginalized indigenous languages            | Linguistic federalism; state autonomy                | Inclusive multilingualism; linguistic equality               |
| Key Challenges              | Ethnic tensions; linguistic marginalization; education disparities | Regional resistance to Hindi; socio-economic divides | Resource-intensive implementation; limited scalability       |
| Security Implications       | Grievances fueling insurgencies; ethnic militias                   | Regional tensions; linguistic-based movements        | Stability through inclusivity and equity                     |
| Lessons Learned             | Need for balanced multilingual policies                            | Flexibility and respect for regional autonomy        | Importance of institutional support for linguistic diversity |

The case studies of Nigeria, India, and Switzerland highlight the diverse ways in which language policies impact security in multilingual nations. While Nigeria and India face significant challenges in managing linguistic diversity, Switzerland demonstrates the potential of inclusive policies to foster stability and social harmony. These examples underscore the importance of context-specific approaches that balance linguistic equity with practical governance needs. By learning from these case studies, policymakers can design language policies that promote unity, mitigate conflict, and enhance national security.

**Language as a Tool for Inclusion and Exclusion**

Language policies play a central role in shaping inclusion and exclusion within a society. In multilingual nations, policies that prioritize one or a few languages—often for administrative convenience or historical reasons—can marginalize speakers of other languages. This exclusion has security implications, as marginalized groups may perceive such policies as an attack on their identity, leading to resistance or conflict.

For instance, Nigeria’s adoption of English as the official language has reinforced socio-economic divides and alienated speakers of indigenous languages. Similarly, India’s attempt to impose Hindi as a national language sparked regional resistance, particularly in Tamil Nadu. These examples highlight the potential for poorly designed language policies to exacerbate ethnic and regional tensions.

On the other hand, Switzerland’s inclusive approach to multilingualism demonstrates that equitable language policies can foster social cohesion and national unity. By recognizing and supporting all linguistic communities, Switzerland has minimized linguistic grievances and maintained stability.

This highlights the importance of inclusive language policies in mitigating conflict and promoting security.

### **Language Policy and National Identity**

Language policies are often tied to the construction of national identity. In some cases, a dominant language is promoted as a symbol of unity, as seen in post-independence Nigeria and India. Yet, such approaches can backfire in linguistically diverse nations, where the imposition of a single language may be perceived as an attempt to erase regional or ethnic identities.

The interplay between language policy and security in multilingual nations is intricate, encompassing issues of identity, governance, socio-economic equity, and conflict resolution. This discussion integrates insights from the case studies with relevant past research to highlight the underlying dynamics and their implications for national stability.

### **Language as a Marker of Inclusion and Exclusion:**

Language policy serves as a critical determinant of social inclusion or exclusion. Exclusionary language policies marginalize minority groups, often intensifying tensions and conflict. For instance, the dominance of English in Nigeria's governance and education system has reinforced socio-economic and regional inequalities, aligning with Bamgbose's (2000) observation that "the neglect of indigenous languages alienates the majority of the population and fuels grievances." Similarly, India's imposition of Hindi as a national language, despite the federal structure, has fueled regional resistance, particularly in Tamil Nadu, echoing Annamalai's (2004) argument that linguistic imposition can exacerbate regional identities.

Conversely, Switzerland's multilingual policies showcase how inclusionary approaches foster stability, resonating with Ricento's (2006) findings that linguistic equality minimizes grievances and promotes cohesion. This agreement highlights the efficacy of inclusive language policies in mitigating security risks.

### **Multilingualism, Governance, and Federal Structures:**

The effectiveness of multilingualism in governance depends largely on the structure of government and the inclusivity of policies. Federal systems, like India's, allow states to manage linguistic diversity autonomously, which has mitigated some tensions. Yet, regional inequalities in resource allocation and representation remain persistent challenges. These outcomes align with the findings of Skutnabb-Kangas (2000), who emphasized that equitable governance structures are pivotal in managing linguistic diversity.

In contrast, Switzerland's decentralized model, supported by strong institutional backing for linguistic inclusion, has demonstrated superior outcomes. This observation supports Laponce's (1987) assertion that successful multilingual governance relies on decentralized decision-making and robust linguistic equity mechanisms. Nigeria, with its centralized approach and heavy reliance on English, stands as a counterexample, highlighting the perils of insufficient decentralization in linguistically diverse contexts.

### **Socio-Economic Dimensions of Language Policy:**

Language policies significantly influence socio-economic mobility, often perpetuating inequalities when certain languages dominate access to education and employment. In Nigeria and India, English proficiency is closely tied to socio-economic opportunities, sidelining those without access to quality English education. This finding aligns with Adegbite's (2004) study, which emphasized the role of language in perpetuating rural-urban divides.

In Switzerland, the inclusive approach to multilingual education ensures equitable opportunities for speakers of all national languages, confirming the effectiveness of multilingual education models as highlighted by García and Wei (2014). This contrast highlights the critical role of equitable resource distribution in mitigating the socio-economic impacts of language policy.

### **Language Policy as a Tool for Conflict Resolution**

Language policy can either escalate or mitigate conflicts, depending on its inclusivity. In Nigeria and India, language-related grievances have fueled ethnic militias and regional movements, consistent with Kaplan and Baldauf's (1997) argument that exclusionary policies amplify group grievances. Sri Lanka's Sinhala-only policy, which contributed to decades of civil war, serves as another cautionary example (DeVotta, 2004).

Conversely, Switzerland's inclusive policies demonstrate the potential of language policy as a tool for peacebuilding. This finding aligns with the conclusions of Paulston (1997), who posited that multilingual policies that recognize and support minority languages can foster reconciliation in diverse societies. Such policies build trust, enhance legitimacy, and reduce the likelihood of conflict.

### **CONCLUSION**

This study highlights the pivotal role of language policy in shaping security dynamics in multilingual nations. By examining the cases of Nigeria, India, and Switzerland, it becomes evident that language policies can either mitigate or exacerbate conflicts, depending on their inclusivity and alignment with the socio-cultural realities of the population. The findings reaffirm existing research on the importance of linguistic inclusion in fostering social cohesion and stability (Ricento, 2006; Kymlicka, 1995). Yet, the persistent challenges in Nigeria and India highlight the limitations of centralized and exclusionary approaches, supporting the critiques of scholars like Bamgbose (2000) and Khubchandani (1997). Switzerland's success provides a valuable model for multilingual governance, demonstrating the importance of equitable policies and decentralized decision-making. Future research should explore the scalability of the Swiss model in larger, less affluent nations, as well as the potential for multilingual education systems to address socio-economic disparities. Policymakers in multilingual nations must prioritize inclusivity, equity, and respect for linguistic diversity to transform language policies into tools for unity, peacebuilding, and security enhancement.

### **REFERENCES**

- Fatimah, A. F., Azzahra, A., & Ellingsen, A. (2024). Literature Curriculum Development through Education Policy:(A Literature Study Analysis). *Journal of Law and Regulation Governance*, 2(7), 238-249.
- Adegbite, W. (2004). Bilingualism and language use in Nigerian urban centers. *Africa Development*, 29(4), 27–39. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ad.v29i4>
- Annamalai, E. (2004). Nativization of English in India and its effect on multilingualism. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 3(1), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.1075/jlp.3.1.10ann>
- Bamgbose, A. (2000). *Language and exclusion: The consequences of language policies in Africa*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511486999>
- DeVotta, N. (2004). *Blowback: Linguistic nationalism, institutional decay, and ethnic conflict in Sri Lanka*. Stanford University Press.
- Fishman, J. A. (1991). *Reversing language shift: Theoretical and empirical foundations of*

- assistance to threatened languages. *Multilingual Matters*.
- García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137385765>
- Kaplan, R. B., & Baldauf, R. B. (1997). *Language planning from practice to theory*. *Multilingual Matters*.
- Khubchandani, L. M. (1997). *Revisualizing boundaries: A plurilingual ethos*. Sage Publications.
- Kymlicka, W. (1995). *Multicultural citizenship: A liberal theory of minority rights*. Clarendon Press.
- Laponce, J. A. (1987). *Languages and their territories*. University of Toronto Press.
- Paulston, C. B. (1997). Language policies and language rights. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 26(1), 73–85. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.26.1.73>
- Ricento, T. (2006). *An introduction to language policy: Theory and method*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Skutnabb-Kangas, T. (2000). *Linguistic genocide in education—or worldwide diversity and human rights?* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Switzerland Federal Constitution. (1999). *Constitution of the Swiss Confederation*. Retrieved from <https://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified-compilation/19995395/index.html>
- UNESCO. (2003). *Education in a multilingual world*. UNESCO.
- Wardhaugh, R. (2010). *An introduction to sociolinguistics* (6th ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Wright, S. (2004). *Language policy and language planning: From nationalism to globalization*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230504185>